UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/866,414	05/25/2001	Fred Discenzo	01AB121	6236	
William R. Walbrun Rockwell Automation (Allen-Bradley Co., Inc.)			EXAMINER		
			CHANG, JUNGWON		
	1201 South Second Street Milwaukee, WI 53204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2154	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/02/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/866,414	DISCENZO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JUNGWON CHANG	2154				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ap	oril 2008					
	action is non-final.					
<i>i</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,19-37,41-43,45-49,53-55 and 57-59</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-17,19-37,41-43,45-49,53-55 and 57-59</u> is/are rejected.						
·= · · ·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	atent Application					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2154

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to RCE filed on 4/11/08. Claims 18, 38-40, 44, 50-52, 56 have been canceled.

- 2. Claims 1-17, 19-37, 41-43, 45-49, 53-55 and 57-59 are presented for examination.
- 3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/11/08 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/866,414

Art Unit: 2154

5. Claims 1-7, 10, 11, 15, 31-34, 36, 37, 41-43, 45-47, 49, 53-55 and 57-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Hays (US 6,260,004 B1), hereinafter Hays, in view of Grimm et al. (US 6,369,472 B1), hereinafter Grimm, further in view of Kato et al, (US 6,415,200), hereinafter Kato.

Page 3

6. As for claims 1 and 32, Hays discloses a diagnostics and control system for controlling a motorized system and diagnosing the health thereof, comprising:

a controller (micro-controller/PID controller 188, Fig. 4a) that conveys a control signal to a motor drive (12, 14, fig. 1) to operate the motorized system (10, fig. 1) in a controlled fashion (col. 14, line 55 – col. 15, line 15); and

a diagnostics system (diagnostic apparatus 24 includes motor vibration sensor 86, rotating machine seal leakage detector or sensor 94, oil contamination sensor 96, viscosity degradation sensor 98, torque sensor 100, corrosion sensor 104, ultrasonic thickness sensor 106, accelerometer 108; col. 13, line 7 – col. 14, line 7) integrated with the controller (micro-controller/PID controller 188, Fig. 4a; col. 14, line 55 – col. 15, line 15) and the motor (motor or rotating machine, 12, 14, fig. 1) to comprises a single unit (fig. 1) that diagnoses the health of the motorized system according to a measured attribute associated with the motorized system, the diagnostics system providing a diagnostics signal to the controller (col. 6, lines 23-42, "The system pparatus...reducing pump wear."; col. 20, lines 65-68, "If diagnostic information...increases pump life.").

7. Hays discloses a diagnostics system (diagnostic apparatus 24 includes motor

Page 4

vibration sensor 86, rotating machine seal leakage detector or sensor 94, oil contamination sensor 96, viscosity degradation sensor 98, torque sensor 100, corrosion sensor 104, ultrasonic thickness sensor 106, accelerometer 108; col. 13, line 7 – col. 14, line 7) integrated with the controller (micro-controller/PID controller 188, Fig. 4a; col. 14, line 55 – col. 15, line 15) and the motor (motor or rotating machine, 12, 14, fig. 1) to comprises a single unit (fig. 1). Grimm also discloses a diagnostics system integrated with the controller and the motor drive to comprises a single unit (20, fig. 1; col. 2, lines 27-32; col. 2, line 44 – col. 3, line 35; col. 4, claims 16; col. 5, claim 17). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Hays and Grimm because Grimm's integration of controller, motor and diagnostics would optimize the performance of arrangement of the system by accurately diagnosing problems and predicting the future state of the system based on operating characteristics of the electric motor (Grimm, col. 1, lines 5-11; col. 2, lines 27-32).

7. As for claim 18, Hays does not specifically disclose including a post processing portion that is a fuzzy rule based expert system. However, Kato discloses including a post processing portion that is a fuzzy rule based expert system (col. 25, lines 25-40; col. 26, lines 4-67 – col. 27, line 4; col. 27, lines 21-35; col. 28, line 57 – col. 29, line 6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Hays and Kato because Kato's teaching would improve accuracy of making decisions based on the rules of diagnosis or control, as taught by Kato (col. 2, lines 24-36).

Art Unit: 2154

8. As for claim 2, Hays discloses the diagnostics and control system of claim 1, the measured attribute comprises at least one of vibration, pressure, current, speed, and temperature (col. 1, lines 40-65, "Vibration monitoring equipment...called an 'orbit."; "col. 10, line 49 - col. 11, line 29, "Diagnostics apparatus 24...computing device 38."; vibration sensor 80, Fig. 1; col. 12, lines 63-66, "Machine sensors may...rotating equipment 14.").

- 9. As for claim 3, Hays discloses the diagnostics and control system of claim 1, the motorized system comprises a motor and a load, and the load comprises at least one of a valve, a pump, a conveyor roller, a fan, a compressor, and a gearbox (col. 6, lines 46-57, "Thus, the present invention...equipment monitoring variables.").
- 10. As for claim 4, Hays discloses the diagnostics and control system of claim 1, the diagnostics system provides a diagnostics signal according to the health of the motorized system, and the controller provides a control signal to the motorized system according to at least one of a setpoint and the diagnostics signal (col. 14, lines 45-62, "In one embodiment...to rotating machine 14.").
- 11. As for claim 5, Hays discloses the diagnostics and control system of claim 1, the measured attribute comprises at least one vibration signal obtained from a sensor associated with a motor in the motorized system (vibration sensor 80, Fig. 1; col. 12,

Art Unit: 2154

lines 63-66, "Machine sensors may...rotating equipment 14."; col. 13, lines 7-12, "Diagnostic apparatus 24...variables thereto.").

- 12. As for claim 6, Hays discloses the diagnostics and control system of claim 5, the diagnostics system is adapted to diagnose the health of at least one of a motor bearing, motor shaft alignment, and motor mounting according to the measured vibration (col. 13, lines 7-12, "Diagnostic apparatus 24…variables thereto.").
- 13. As for claim 7, Hays discloses the diagnostics and control system of claim 6, the diagnostics system performs frequency spectral analysis of the vibration signal (col. 24, lines 31-55, "Referring to Fig. 16...by box 1614.").
- 14. As for claim 10, Hays discloses the diagnostics and control system of claim 1, The motorized system comprises a motorized pump, the measured attribute comprises at least one vibration signal obtained from a sensor associated with the pump, and the diagnostics system is adapted to diagnose the health of the pump according to the measured vibration (col. 12, lines 63-66, "Machine sensors may...rotating equipment 14."; col. 13, lines 7-12, "Diagnostic apparatus 24...variables thereto.").
- 15. As for claim 11, Hays discloses the diagnostics and control system of claim 10, the diagnostics system is adapted to perform frequency spectral analysis of the vibration signal (col. 24, lines 31-55, "Referring to Fig. 16...by box 1614.").

Art Unit: 2154

16. As for claim 15, Hays discloses the diagnostics and control system of claim 1, the motorized system comprises a motorized pump, the measured attribute comprises a current associated with a motor in the motorized system, and the diagnostics system provides a diagnostics signal indicative of pump cavitation according to the measured current (col. 8, lines 44-48, "The method is based...wear and tear."; col. 13, lines 13-18, "By receiving data...impending maintenance.").

- 17. As for claim 31, Hays discloses the diagnostics and control system of claim 1, the diagnostics system comprises at least one of a neural network, an expert system, and a data fusion component (col. 4, lines 27-52, "MARINTEK has undertaken...for pump maintenance.").
- 18. As for claim 33, Hays discloses the method of claim 32, further comprising providing a diagnostics signal indicative of the health of the motorized system, operating the motor comprises controlling the motor according to at least one of a setpoint and the diagnostics signal (col. 14, lines 45-62, "In one embodiment...to rotating machine 14.").
- 19. As for claim 34, Hays discloses the method of claim 33, further comprising measuring an attribute associated with the motorized system, providing the diagnostics signal comprises obtaining a frequency spectrum of the measured attribute and

Art Unit: 2154

analyzing the frequency spectrum in order to detect at least one fault in the motorized system (col. 24, lines 31-55, "Referring to Fig. 16...by box 1614.").

20. As for claim 36, Hays discloses the method of claim 32, diagnosing the health of the motorized system according to a measured attribute associated with the motorized system comprises:

providing the measured attribute to at least one of a neural network, an expert system, and a data fusion component (col. 4, lines 41-52, "Recent published research...for pump maintenance."); and

providing a diagnostics signal indicative of the health of the motorized system from the at least one of a neural network, an expert system, and a data fusion component (col. 4, lines 41-52, "Recent published research...for pump maintenance.").

- 21. As for claim 37, Hays discloses the method of claim 36, operating the motor comprises controlling the motor according to at least one of a setpoint and the diagnostics signal (col. 14, lines 55-62, "In another embodiment...to rotating machine 14.").
- 22. As for claims 41 and 42, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 1 and 32 above. In addition, Hays discloses an integrated control and diagnostics system for a motor, the system comprising:

Art Unit: 2154

a diagnostics module to generate a health assessment signal indicative of the health of the motor (computer 38, Fig. 1; col. 6, lines 23-42, "The system apparatus...reducing pump wear.");

a controller integrated with the diagnostics module and coupled to a motor device, the controller outputting a driving output based on the health assessment signal, the driving output is applied to the motor device (micro-controller/PID controller 188, Fig. 4a; col. 6, lines 23-42, "The system apparatus...reducing pump wear."), the motor drive and the controller integrated with the diagnostics module form an indivisible unit.

- 23. As for claim 43, Hays discloses the control and diagnostics system according to claim 41, the controller is associated with at least one controllable parameter, the parameter being controllable in response to the health assessment signal (col. 6, lines 23-42, "The system apparatus...reducing pump wear.").
- 24. As for claim 45, Hays discloses the control and diagnostics system according to claim 41, further including at least one sensor, the sensor generating a signal indicative of a parameter associated with the motor, the health assessment signal is based on the sensor signal (col. 13, lines 7-18, "Diagnostic apparatus...impending maintenance.").
- 25. As for claim 46, Hays discloses the control and diagnostics system according to

Art Unit: 2154

claim 45, the controller includes a velocity feedback loop and a torque feedback loop (col. 13, lines 39-50, "Additional machine sensors...rotating machine 14.").

- 26. As for claim 47, Hays discloses the control and diagnostics system according to claim 46, the velocity feedback loop generates a current reference signal in response to the sensor signal, and the torque feedback loop generates the driving output in response to the current reference signal (col. 13, lines 39-50, "Additional machine sensors...rotating machine 14.").
- 27. As for claim 49, Hays discloses the control and diagnostics system according to claim 45, the motor parameter is one of a group consisting of velocity and vibration (col. 13, lines 7-18, "Diagnostic apparatus...impending maintenance.").
- 28. As for claim 53, Hays discloses the control and diagnostics system according to claim 41, the diagnostics module includes an ASIC that generates the health assessment signal based on a process constraint (Fig. 4a).
- 29. As for claim 54, Hays discloses the control and diagnostics system according to claim 42, the health assessment signal is indicative of whether the motor is deviating from a normal operating characteristic (col. 6, lines 23-42, "The system apparatus...reducing pump wear.").

Art Unit: 2154

30. As for claim 55, Hays discloses the control and diagnostics systems according to claim 41, further comprising a coordination module coupled to a plurality of the control and diagnostics systems, the coordination module alters the driving output associated with one of the control and diagnostics systems based on the driving output of another one of the control and diagnostics systems (col. 13, lines 39-50, "Additional machine sensors...rotating machine 14.").

31. As for claim 57, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 1 and 32 above. In addition, Hays discloses an integrated control and diagnostics system, comprising:

means for controlling a motorized system utilizing a health assessment signal indicative of the health of the motorized system (micro-controller/PID controller 188, Fig. 4a; col. 16, line 26 – col. 17, line 3; col. 20, lines 65-68); and means for generating the health assessment signal, the means for generating integrated with the means for controlling (col. 16, lines 26-54).

32. As for claim 58, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 1 and 32 above. In addition, Hays discloses a composite control and diagnostics system to control a motor, comprising:

means for effectuating movement of the motor in a controlled fashion based in part on a health assessment signal (col. 16, lines 26-54; col. 20, lines 65-68);

Art Unit: 2154

means for formulating the health assessment signal, the means for effectuating movement and the means for formulating the health signal forming an integrated unit (Fig. 4a; col. 16, lines 26-54).

33. As for claim 59, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 1 and 32 above. In addition, Hays discloses an integrated control and diagnostics system, comprising:

means for diagnosing the health of a motorized system integrated with a means for controlling the motorized system, the means for diagnosing producing a signal (col. 16, lines 26-54; col. 20, lines 65-68); and means for communicating the signal to the means for controlling (col. 20, lines 65-68).

- 34. Claims 8, 9, 12-14, 16-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Hays (US 6,260,004 B1), Grimm, Kato, further in view of Ogi et al (US 5,419,197) (hereinafter Ogi).
- 35. As for claims 8 and 12, although Hays discloses the use of artificial intelligence in control networks (col. 4, lines 41-52, "Recent published research...for pump maintenance."), Hays and Grimm do not specifically disclose a diagnostics system comprising at least one of a neural network or an expert system, wherein frequency spectral analysis is performed using the at least one of a neural network or expert

Art Unit: 2154

system. Ogi teaches a diagnostics system comprising at least one of a neural network or an expert system, wherein frequency spectral analysis is performed using the at least one of a neural network or expert system (col. 2, lines 29-57, "In order to achieve...the lapse of time."; col. 4, lines 45-57, "Subsequently, the normalized...the power supply."). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hays and Grimm by using a diagnostics system comprising at least one of a neural network or an expert system, wherein frequency spectral analysis is performed using the at least one of a neural network or expert system, because this would provide the advantage of an adaptable system that can be used with a variety of sensor and equipment types, as taught by Hays (col. 2, lines 18-28, "It is an object...the lapse of time.").

- 36. As for claims 9 and 13, Hays discloses a diagnostics and control system similar to claims 8 and 12, the controller provides a control signal to the motorized system according to at least one of a setpoint and the diagnostics signal (col. 14, lines 45-62, "In one embodiment...to rotating machine 14.").
- 37. As for claim 14, Hays discloses a diagnostics and control system similar to claim 12, the diagnostics system employs data fusion techniques in order to derive the at least one vibration signal from at least one sensor associated with the motorized system (col. 4, lines 41-52, "Recent published research...for pump maintenance.").
- 38. As for claim 16, although Hays discloses the use of artificial intelligence in control

Art Unit: 2154

networks (col. 4, lines 41-52, "Recent published research...for pump maintenance."),
Hays and Grimm do not specifically disclose a diagnostics system comprising a neural
network adapted to synthesize a change in condition signal from the measured current.
Ogi discloses a neural network adapted to synthesize a change in condition signal from
the measured current (col. 2, lines 29-57, "In order to achieve...the lapse of time."; col.
4, lines 45-57, "Subsequently, the normalized...the power supply."). It would have been
obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hays by using a diagnostics system
comprising a neural network adapted to synthesize a change in condition signal from
the measured current, because this would provide the advantage of an adaptable
system that can be used with a variety of sensor and equipment types, as taught by
Hays (col. 2, lines 18-28, "It is an object...the lapse of time.").

- 39. As for claim 17, Hays and Grimm do not specifically teach the use of a preprocessing portion operatively coupled to neural network nor a post processing portion coupled to the neural network for determining whether the change in condition signal is due to a fault condition. Ogi teaches the use of a preprocessing portion operatively coupled to neural network and a post processing portion coupled to the neural network for determining whether the change in condition signal is due to a fault condition (col. 4, lines 9-13, "A processor 10 further...digital computer.").
- 40. As for claim 19, Hays discloses a diagnostics and control system similar to claim

Art Unit: 2154

18, the diagnostics system detects at least one fault relating to the operation of the pump and at least one fault relating to the operation of the motor driving the pump according to the measured current (col. 12, lines 63-66, "Machine sensors may...rotating equipment 14."; col. 13, lines 7-12, "Diagnostic apparatus 24...variables thereto.").

- 41. Claims 20-30 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Hays (US 6,260,004 B1), Grimm, Kato, further in view of Petsche et al (US 5,640,103).
- 42. As for claims 20-26 and 35, although obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, Hays and Grimm do not specifically disclose obtaining a space vector angular fluctuation from a current signal relating to operation of the motor in order to detect a fault in the motor. Petsche teaches obtaining a space vector angular fluctuation from a current signal relating to operation of the motor in order to detect a fault in the motor (col. 3, line 52-col. 4, line 2, "In accordance with...the training phase."). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hays and Grimm by obtaining a space vector angular fluctuation from a current signal relating to operation of the motor in order to detect a fault in the motor, because this would facilitate the detection and correction of motor faults, as taught by Petsche (col. 1, lines 8-19, "The present invention...or abnormally, respectively."). Furthermore, the various modifications recited in claims 22-26 would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 2154

the art because, as demonstrated by Petsche, the use of space vectors for representing and analyzing time-varying current signals is well known in the art.

- 43. As for claim 27, Hays discloses the diagnostics and control system of claim 26, the diagnostics system is adapted to analyze fluctuations in amplitude of the first spectral component in order to detect at least one fault associated with the motorized system (col. 1, line 48 col. 2, line 14, "Monitoring machine performance...CSI Application paper....").
- 44. As for claim 28, Hays discloses the diagnostics and control system of claim 27, the first frequency is approximately twice the frequency of power applied to a motor in the motorized system (col. 1, line 48 col. 2, line 14, "Monitoring machine performance...CSI Application paper....").
- 45. As for claim 29, Hays and Grimm do not specifically disclose the use of the Goertzel algorithm. "Official Notice" is given that both the use and advantages of the Goertzel algorithm are well known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hays and Grimm by using a Goertzel algorithm to extract the amplitude of the first spectral component in order to analyze the amplitude of the first spectral component, because this is a well known method of spectral analysis.

Art Unit: 2154

46. As for claim 30, Hays discloses the diagnostics and control system of claim 29, the at least one fault comprises at least one of a stator fault, a rotor fault, and an imbalance in the power applied to the motor in the motorized system (col. 13, lines 7-18, "Diagnostic apparatus...impending maintenance.").

- 47. **Claim 48** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Hays (US 6,260,004 B1), Grimm, Kato, further in view of Gotou et al (US 4,933,834) (hereinafter Gotou).
- 48. As for claim 48, Hays and Grimm do not specifically disclose the use of P-I controller to generate the current reference signal. Gotou teaches the use of a P-I controller in a velocity feedback loop to generate the current reference signal (col. 1, lines 13-31, "In conventional control systems...in recent years."). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hays and Grimm by using a P-I controller to generate the current reference signal in a velocity feedback loop, because PI controllers are widely used in motor control systems to improve robustness and suppress the influence of disturbances, as taught by Gotou (col. 1, lines 13-31, "In conventional control systems...in recent years.").

## Response to Arguments

49. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17, 19-37, 41-43, 45-49, 53-55 and 57-59 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2154

50. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to JUNGWON CHANG whose telephone number is

(571)272-3960. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JUNGWON CHANG/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2154

April 28, 2008